

# Bureau of Land Management 2025 National Report

## Introduction


In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and better meet the needs of the public, a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 11 BLM recreation sites in 7 states during fiscal year 2025 (FY25). Of the 11 units conducting the survey this year, 11 units successfully administered the survey. The survey was developed to measure each site’s performance related to Mission Area 3, Goal 2, Strategy 1 (as specified in the DOI 2024/2025 Annual Performance Plan) - *Enhance Public Satisfaction at DOI Sites by enhancing the enjoyment and appreciation of our natural and cultural heritage*. The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, recreation use management, resource management, BLM staff and service, programs, commercial recreation operations, educational and interpretive materials, fees, accessibility for visitors with disabilities, activities, and demographics.

The combined results of the visitor satisfaction surveys conducted in FY25 are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on page two. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions regarding the overall quality of their experience at this site. The satisfaction measure below (right) is a combined proportion of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Mission Area 3, Goal 2.1 and should be used when reporting performance for this goal. (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" proportions due to rounding.)

The response rates for the BLM units surveyed ranged from 45% to 87%.

### Overall quality of experience

FY25: 1319 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 95%  
Mean score: 4.7

### FY25 GPRA Satisfaction Measure

Proportion of site visitors satisfied overall with visitor information, facilities, management, interpretation/education, staff services, and programs:

**95%**





# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Research Methods

## Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the survey results. The report contains ten categories of data regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services plus selected demographics. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by site visitors. For example, the Visitor Information category includes indicators such as "providing useful maps and brochures," "providing useful information on the internet," and so forth. In each category there is a graph entitled "Everything Considered." This graph is the basis for determining visitor satisfaction for each category and GPRA reporting numbers.


All graphs include the following information:

- The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
- The proportion of responses for each answer choice;

Graphs for quality indicators also include:

- A "satisfaction measure" that combines the proportion of total responses which were "Very good/well" or "Good/Well;"
- An average (mean) evaluation score where a number closer to five reflects a more positive visitor response;
- Quality indicators are based on the following scale:

"Very poor/poorly" = 1, "Poor/Poorly" = 2, "Average" = 3, "Good/Well" = 4, "Very good/well" = 5;



**NOTE** Graph proportions may not equal 100% due to rounding.

## Procedure

A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at 11 units in FY25. The data reflect visitor opinions about these sites's facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured.

Visitors were given a choice between a paper version to be completed on-site, and an online option to be completed on-site or at a later date. Those visitors who chose the online option were given a postcard containing the access information needed for completing the survey online.

The results in this report are the combined responses from 1293 paper versions of the survey that were electronically scanned and 106 responses that were completed online. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category using the combined data. The number of respondents for each indicator is reported at the top of each

figure. All proportions are reported as whole percentages while averages are rounded to the nearest tenth.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with fewer than 30 responses. When this occurs, the word "CAUTION!" is included above the graph. This report excludes any graphs or calculations for questions with fewer than 10 responses. "NA" has been inserted in place of excluded satisfaction and evaluation calculations.

*NOTE: Because of the different sample sizes obtained at each BLM Unit, the overall margin of error for this study cannot be determined. Please refer to the individual unit reports for specific margins of error. However, For most indicators, with a full sample size of 350 to 400 at each unit, the survey data are expected to be accurate within  $\pm 6\%$  of the population with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar ( $\pm 6\%$ ) 95 out of 100 times.*

For more information about this survey, contact the  
Social and Economic Sciences Research Center at Washington State University  
(509) 335-1511 | [blm.survey@wsu.edu](mailto:blm.survey@wsu.edu)


# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey

## Visitor Information



### Providing useful maps and brochures


FY25: 1146 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 85%  
Mean score: 4.4

### Providing useful information on the Internet


FY25: 1030 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 85%  
Mean score: 4.4

### Ensuring public awareness of rules and regulations


FY25: 1235 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 86%  
Mean score: 4.4

### Providing adequate signs on-site for direction and orientation


FY25: 1296 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 85%  
Mean score: 4.4

### Everything considered: How well the BLM provides visitor information

FY25: 1288 Respondents




FY25 Satisfaction measure: 89%  
Mean score: 4.4



# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Developed Facilities

## Condition of roads for motorized vehicles


FY25: 1343 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 77%  
Mean score: 4.2

## Cleanliness of site


FY25: 1352 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 94%  
Mean score: 4.7

## Condition of trails for non-motorized use


FY25: 1157 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 87%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Cleanliness of restrooms and other physical facilities


FY25: 1140 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 89%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Everything considered: How well the BLM maintains the condition of physical facilities

FY25: 1329 Respondents




FY25 Satisfaction measure: 92%  
Mean score: 4.5

# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Recreation Use Management



## Managing the appropriate use of vehicles


FY25: 1192 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 91%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Managing the number of people


FY25: 1170 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 92%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Keeping noise at appropriate levels


FY25: 1178 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 93%  
Mean score: 4.6

## Providing a sufficient law enforcement presence to prevent crime


FY25: 883 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 82%  
Mean score: 4.3

## Everything considered: How well the BLM manages recreation use management

FY25: 1254 Respondents




FY25 Satisfaction measure: 91%  
Mean score: 4.5



# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Resource Management

## Adequately protecting the natural resources


FY25: 1290 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 91%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Ensuring that visitor activities do not interfere with resource protection


FY25: 1170 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 90%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Adequately protecting the cultural resources


FY25: 1084 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 91%  
Mean score: 4.5

## Everything considered: How well the BLM protects the natural and cultural resources

FY25: 1262 Respondents




FY25 Satisfaction measure: 90%  
Mean score: 4.5

# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey BLM Staff and Service



## Staff's level of courteousness


FY25: 1233 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 99%  
Mean score: 4.9

## Staff's knowledge about natural and cultural resources


FY25: 1022 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 98%  
Mean score: 4.9

## Staff's knowledge about recreational opportunities


FY25: 1085 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 99%  
Mean score: 4.9

## Everything considered: Performance of BLM staff

FY25: 1190 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 99%  
Mean score: 4.9




# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey

## Providing Educational and Interpretive Material

### Providing quality educational and interpretive material about the resources


FY25: 922 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 83%  
Mean score: 4.3

### Providing stewardship information on protecting cultural and natural resources


FY25: 958 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 84%  
Mean score: 4.4

### Providing sufficient quantity of educational and interpretive materials about the resources


FY25: 889 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 80%  
Mean score: 4.2

### Everything considered: How well the BLM provides interpretive and educational materials

FY25: 986 Respondents




FY25 Satisfaction measure: 82%  
Mean score: 4.3

# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Programs & Fees



## Quality of program(s) attended


FY25: 130 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 95%  
Mean score: 4.8


## How appropriate was the fee charged for this site/area?

FY25: 350 Respondent(s)




## Total fees paid

FY25: 1399 Respondents



## The value of recreation opportunity and services was at least equal to the fee asked to pay

FY25: 329 Respondent(s)






# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey

## Commercial Recreation Operations & Activities

### Quality of Commercial Services


FY25: 156 Responses\*



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 95%  
Mean score: 4.9

### Activities

FY25: 1319 Respondents\*\*



\*Each respondent could rate up to three services.


\*\*Percentages do not sum to 100 because respondents could select more than one activity.

# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey Demographics




## Visitor age groups

FY25: 5082 Visitors




## Number of Adults (18 and over) in group

FY25: 1315 Groups




## Number of teenagers (13-17) in group

FY25: 1317 Groups




## Number of children (under 12) in group

FY25: 1318 Groups




## Respondent age

FY25: 1295 Respondents



## Respondent gender

FY25: 1286 Respondents






# 2025 BLM Visitor Survey

## Accessibility for Visitors with Disabilities

### How well the BLM provides access to adequately use recreation facilities


FY25: 101 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 93%  
Mean score: 4.6

### How well the BLM provides access to adequately view exhibits, way-sides, information panels, etc.


FY25: 88 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 89%  
Mean score: 4.5

### How well the BLM provides access to adequately view and use information (map, brochure, website, etc.)


FY25: 88 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 86%  
Mean score: 4.5

### How well the BLM provides access to adequately use other visitor services (restrooms, water, etc.)

FY25: 90 Respondents



FY25 Satisfaction measure: 88%  
Mean score: 4.6



Social & Economic Sciences  
Research Center

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY