

Washington State Criminal Sentencing Task Force

2022 Work Plan

As of 12.1.22

Background and Overview: 2019-2020

In 2019, the Legislature established the Washington State Criminal Sentencing Task Force (Task Force) to review state sentencing laws, including a consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines Commission's (SGC) 2019 report, and develop recommendations for the purpose of:

- a) Reducing sentencing implementation complexities and errors;
- b) Improving the effectiveness of the sentencing system; and
- c) Promoting and improving public safety.

The proviso stipulated the Task Force submit an initial report to the Governor and "the appropriate committees of the Legislature" by December 31, 2019, and a final report by December 31, 2020. The William D. Ruckelshaus Center (Center) served as a third-party facilitator of the Task Force. In 2019, the Task Force met monthly from September to December 2019 for daylong facilitated meetings and reached consensus on two policy recommendations. In 2020, the Task Force met monthly from January – August (except in March 2020 due to the coronavirus pandemic) and bi-monthly from September-December and reached consensus on 47 policy recommendations.

As the Task Force discussed potential policy changes over the course of 2020, the group agreed that detailed, research-based work remains to simplify the sentencing system and reduce racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, and geographic disparities in adult felony sentencing. As reflected in Recommendation #1 in the 2020 Report (available [here](#)), the Task Force agreed that proper consideration of changes to the sentencing grid required a thorough assessment of the possible impacts of those changes. This would take more time than initially allotted to the Task Force. Therefore, the Task Force agreed to continue meeting and working together the Legislature extended the Task Force through June 2023.

2021-2022 Workplan: Sentencing Guidelines Grid

At the beginning of 2021, the Task Force contracted with the Washington State Institute for Public Policy (WSIPP) to complete a report examining sentencing outcomes for individuals using the current sentencing guidelines grid and potential sentencing outcomes for individuals using a modified version of the guidelines grid. The purpose of the report is to provide analytic data that will assist the Task Force in its discussions beginning in May 2021 about potential changes to the sentencing guidelines grid.

WSIPP presented the report and findings to the Task Force at its May 6, 2021 meeting: [Examining Washington State's Sentencing Guidelines: A Report to the Criminal Sentencing Task Force](#).

Given the interconnectedness of the grid, it is difficult to break it down into individual parts and discuss part by part however, it is also difficult to discuss as a whole, without having an in-depth understanding of each part and how it connects to other parts. The first big task of the Grid Subgroup was to come up with an approach to deliberately *and* collaboratively work through a grid that is made up of many interconnected elements. This meant figuring out where to start on the grid, how to sequence the discussion and work, and how best to present ideas back to the full Task Force early and often. In other words, breaking the grid into

parts to come up with potential recommendations for each part of the grid that once all is put together, will make up a whole package(s).

Presentations on potential recommendations from the Grid Subgroup and Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup to the full Task Force occurred from August 2021 – July 2022. Then all potential recommendations were put together and the Task Force discussed the full suite of potential recommendations at the August 2022 meeting. Consensus deliberations begin at the September 2022 meeting.

2022 Meeting Schedule and Workplan

2022 Meeting	Date	Agenda Topics	Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Jan 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceptions to Standard Scoring for Certain Offenses: Multipliers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 1.11.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Jan 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should misdemeanors be excluded completely from CHS and/or have a specific washout period that is very short? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 1.18.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Jan 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should misdemeanors be excluded completely from CHS and/or have a specific washout period that is very short? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 1.25.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Jan 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOSA statutory eligibility • How DOSA could overlay onto grid • Community intermediate sanctions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting notes – 1.25.2022
Grid Subgroup	Feb 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should misdemeanors be excluded completely from CHS and/or have a specific washout period that is very short? • Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? • Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 2.1.22 meeting notes
Task Force Meeting #1	Feb 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Task Force introductions and updates • Update from Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup • Update on 2022 legislation related to Task Force’s work • Discuss vacant co-chair position • Presentation and discussion of potential recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting recording – via TVW. • CSTF Draft 2.3.22 Meeting Summary

		from Grid Subgroup: Exceptions to Standard Scoring Rules – Multipliers and Repeat Violent Column on the Grid	
Grid Subgroup	Feb: 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 2.8.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Feb: 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approaches for how alternatives overlay on grid rDOSAs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 2.8.2022
Grid Subgroup	Feb: 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 2.15.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Feb: 22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 2.22.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Feb: 22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSOSA (w/Whitney Hunt, Sex Offender Policy Board): eligibility, washouts, juvenile pDOSAs: overlay on grid, eligibility requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 2.22.2022
Task Force Meeting #2	Mar 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force introductions and updates Decide third co-chair position Build understanding and provide input on potential recommendations from Grid Subgroup: Exceptional Sentences- Aggravators and Mitigators 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting recording via TVW CSTF 3.3.22 Meeting Summary
Grid Subgroup	Mar 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup Feedback and Discussion of the Task Force March 3rd Meeting Presentation Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 3.1.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Mar 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guest speaker, Dr. Megan Kurlychek, Professor of 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 3.8.22 meeting notes

		Sociology, Criminology, and Public Policy, with Penn State University	
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Mar 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FOSA & CPA: overlay on grid, outcomes, recidivism, eligibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting notes – 3.8.22
Grid Subgroup	Mar 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Juvenile adjudications – should they count, should they have separate washout rule, should they stay as is? • Should all count or just certain types (e.g., violent/serious violent)? • What were the previous WA laws regarding juvenile washout? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 3.15.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Mar 22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Juvenile adjudications – should they count, should they have separate washout rule, should they stay as is? • Should misdemeanors trigger reset of “crime-free” period for felony offenses? When does the washout period start? What about technical violations or DOSA revokes? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 3.22.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Mar 22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion with Chair and Vice Chair of SOPB: Discussion on Special Sex Offender Sentencing Alternative (SSOSA), sex offenses, and ways the SOPB and Sentencing Alternatives Subgroup can coordinate their work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting notes – 3.22.2022
Grid Subgroup	Mar 29	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revisit: Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? • Should washout periods be restarted for any offense or only for an offense that is as serious or more serious than the new conviction? • Anticipatory offenses scored as completed offense – should 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 3.29.22 meeting notes

		they be treated as completed or have separate washout rule?	
Grid Subgroup	Apr 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revisit: Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? • Should washout periods be restarted for any offense or only for an offense that is as serious or more serious than the new conviction? • Anticipatory offenses scored as completed offense – should they be treated as completed or have separate washout rule? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 4.5.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Apr 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? • Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? • Should washout periods be restarted for any offense or only for an offense that is as serious or more serious than the new conviction? • Anticipatory offenses scored as completed offense – should they be treated as completed or have separate washout rule? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting notes – 4.5.2022
Task Force Meeting #3	Apr 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Task Force introductions and updates • Updates from Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup • Consider potential recommendations from Grid Subgroup – Consecutive and Concurrent Sentencing Policy; Three Strikes and Two Strikes Sentencing Laws 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting recording via TVW • CSTF 4.7.22 Meeting Summary
Grid Subgroup	Apr 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offense Classification Expansion Proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 4.12.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	Apr 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offense Classification Expansion Proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 4.18.22 meeting notes

Grid Subgroup	Apr 26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offense Classification Expansion Proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 4.26.22 Offense Classifications Draft Proposals
Grid Subgroup	May 3	<p>Juvenile Adjudications –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should they ever count? If so, what/conditions? Just felonies? Just violent/serious violent? Should they have a separate age-based threshold for washout? What about offenses committed as a juvenile but that were remanded to adult court? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 5.3.22 meeting notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	May 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Community Intermediate Sanctions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 5.3.2022
Task Force Meeting #4	May 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force Introductions and Updates Revisit Three Policy Goals Discuss The Road Ahead: Until Consensus & After Consensus Generate List of Important Conversations Begin Important Conversations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting recording via TVW Meeting Summary – May 5th CSTF
Grid Subgroup	May 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Juvenile Adjudications Anticipatory offenses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scored as completed offense – should they be treated as completed or have separate washout rule? Another aspect of anticipatory commission of offenses is that most that are anticipatory drop a Class. This may have implications to the Offense Classification Proposal (Appendix D). Current law does have complexities because 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 5.10.22 meeting notes Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 5.10.22

		there are exceptions to this rule, and the exceptions are not the same for the different types of anticipatory (i.e., solicitation is not treated the same as attempt). How should these be addressed?	
Grid Subgroup	May 17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revisit Washout Periods Discussion – confirm potential recommendations on when washout periods start 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 5.17.22
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	May 17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss and draft proposal for Community Intermediate Sanctions and Rehabilitative Services Program 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 5.17.2022
Grid Subgroup	May 24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revisit Washout Periods Discussion – confirm potential recommendations on when washout periods start 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 5.24.22 meeting notes Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 5.24.22
Grid Subgroup	May 31	<p>Revisit Washout Periods Discussion – confirm potential recommendations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revisit and last chance to develop potential recommendation: Are the current washout periods appropriate for the different classes? Should Class A offenses ever be eligible for washout? What about some Class A offenses? A1, A2, A3 classification proposal. Should washout periods be restarted for any offense or only for an offense that is as serious or more serious than the new conviction? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 5.31.22 meeting notes Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 5.31.22
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	May 31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss and finalize potential recommendations on current sentencing alternatives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DRAFT of 5.25.22 – potential recommendations Meeting notes – 5.31.2022

Task Force Meeting #5	June 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Task Force Introductions and Updates • Review Task Force Input on Three Policy Goals • Review and Discuss Potential Recommendations on Community Intermediate Sanctions • Review and Discuss Potential Recommendations on Misdemeanor Scoring Rules 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting recording via TVW
Grid Subgroup	June 7	CHS: How many CHS columns overall?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 6.7.22 meeting notes • Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 6.7.22
Grid Subgroup	June 14	<p>CHS Exceptions to Standard Scoring Rules – Multipliers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Should offenses score against one another when there is more than one current offense? b) Escape from community custody: Only offenses meeting the definition of Escape (see RCW 9.94A.030(25)) count in the criminal history score – other felonies are not included in the score. c) Community custody: Offenses committed while on community custody. Should extra point apply? d) Domestic Violence – clarify that under Potential Rec 15, DV multipliers eliminated and addressed as part of the repeat sv/violent column. e) Clarify and confirm that potential recommendation #15 is to eliminate all offense specific multipliers and add the repeat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grid Subgroup – 6.7.22 meeting notes • Grid Subgroup Discussion Guide for CHS Topics_as of 6.14.22

		sv/violent column - that the repeat sv/violent column was intended to replace all offense-specific multipliers related to serious violent and/or violent offenses. f) Discuss Task Force input on misdemeanor scoring potential recommendations. (presented at 6.2.22 CSTF meeting)	
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	June 14	Discuss and incorporate input from Task Force on Community Intermediate sanctions Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 6.14.2022
Grid Subgroup	June 21	CHS Exceptions to Standard Scoring Rules – Multipliers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 6.21.22 meeting notes
Grid Subgroup	June 28	Walk through of the grouping of the grid/grid formula specific recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 6.28.22 meeting notes CSTF_road map_grid potential recs_draft 6.28.22
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	June 28	No Meeting	
Grid Subgroup	Jul 5	CHS Exceptions to Standard Scoring Rules – Multipliers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 7.5.22 meeting notes
Task Force Meeting #6	July 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force introductions and updates CHS Potential Recommendations Sentencing Alternatives Potential Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Summary – CSTF July, 7, 2022
Grid Subgroup	Jul 12 – all day	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CSTF_grouping of potential recs_draft 7.12.22 Draft Compiled Grid and Offense Class Proposals_7.12.22 CSTF_Detailed guide potential recs_part 1 vertaxis_draft 6.2.22 CSTF_Detailed guide potential rec_part 2 horizaxis_draft_7.7.22
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Jul 12	No Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Legislative Working Group	Jul 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Task Force Timeline, Potential Recommendations, and Legislative Strategy 	
Grid Subgroup	Jul 19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup – 7.19.22 meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Jul 26 – all day	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed Grid Formula Recommendation_7.12.22 Grid Subgroup worksession workbook_7.12.22 and 7.26.22 CSTF_grouping of potential recs_draft 7.21.22 CSTF_draft potential rec 33_offense class proposal_6.30.22 Offenses for Reclassification_violent status proposal ProposedScoringExamples_SV-V-DV_Allpermutations Potential CSTF Recs Needing Grid Group Attention
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Jul 26	No Meeting	
Grid Subgroup	Aug 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Group Meeting Notes 8.2.22
Task Force Meeting #7	Aug 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force introductions and updates Review, Discuss, and Provide Input on Potential Recommendations: Sentencing Alternatives Review and Discuss Proposed Recommendation: The New Felony Sentencing Guidelines Grid. This is the proposed recommendation up for consensus deliberation at the August 31st and September 1st meeting. Lay the groundwork to begin consensus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Recording – Via TVW Meeting Summary – August 4th, 2022

		deliberations at the next Task Force meeting.	
Grid Subgroup	Aug 9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup August 9th Meeting Notes
Sentencing Alternatives Workgroup	Aug 9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CISRS Program – develop 2nd draft (greater fleshed out program design) LAST MEETING OF THE SENTENCING ALTERNATIVES WORKGROUP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting notes – 8.9.2022
Legislative Working Group	Aug 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Task Force Timeline, Potential Recommendations, and Legislative Strategy 	
Grid Subgroup	Aug 16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup August 16th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Aug 23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup August 23rd Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Aug 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Notes 8.30.2022
Task Force Meeting #8	Aug 31st	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force Introductions and Updates Review, Discuss, and Provide Input on Proposed Recommendations Lay the groundwork to begin consensus deliberations at the next Task Force meeting. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting recording – available via TVW Meeting Summary – CSTF 8.31.2022
Task Force Meeting #9	Sept 1st	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force Introductions and Updates Begin consensus deliberations on proposed felony sentencing grid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recording available via TVW Meeting Summary – CSTF 9.1.2022
Grid Subgroup	Sept 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed Recommendations – Revise to be ready for consensus attempt # 2 Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup September 6th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Sept 13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed Recommendations – Revise to be ready for consensus attempt # 2 Developing the Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup September 13th Meeting Notes

Legislative Working Group	Sept 16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Task Force Timeline, Proposed Recommendations, and Legislative Strategy 	
Grid Subgroup	Sept 19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Day Work Session: working to address issues from Sept1 consensus deliberation the new felony sentencing guidelines grid 	
Grid Subgroup	Sept 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decide on washouts proposed recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup September 20th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Sept 27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decide on proposed recommendation for second chance review Discuss CISRS and Reentry Programming Proposed Recommendations Address sentencing alternatives proposed recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup September 27th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Oct 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decide on proposed recommendations on sentencing alternatives that will go forward to be presented as proposed on the 20th Discuss CISRS and Reentry Programming Proposed Recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup October 4th Meeting Notes
Task Force Meeting #10	Oct 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force introductions and discuss updates to the 2022 workplan Complete consensus deliberations on proposed felony sentencing grid Review and discuss the remainder of the sentencing system proposed recommendations and confirm they are ready to move forward for consensus deliberations at the November Task Force meetings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Recording – Available via TVW.org CSTF Meeting Summary – 10.6.2022

Grid Subgroup	Oct 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Reentry Programming Proposed Recommendations and second chance review recommendation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup October 11th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Oct 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Last change to decide on Sentencing Alternatives Proposed Recommendations that will be presented on Oct 20 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup October 18th Meeting Notes
Task Force Meeting #11	Oct 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Task Force introductions and 2022 workplan review Review and discuss the remaining proposed recommendations Build understanding among Task Force members around issues connected to retroactivity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Recording – available via TVW.org
Legislative Working Group	Oct 21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss Task Force Timeline, Proposed Recommendations, and Legislative Strategy Discuss Dec 14th Joint Legislative Work Session 	
Grid Subgroup	Oct 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prep for consensus deliberations next week Drafting final report – reviewing sections, guidance, etc.. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup October 25th Meeting Notes
Grid Subgroup	Nov 1 <i>Canceled</i>	CANCELED Members take the time to prep for consensus deliberations	
Task Force Meeting #12	Nov 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consensus Deliberations on all proposed recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Recording – via TWV.org
Grid Subgroup	Nov 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prep for consensus deliberations next week – address any remaining issues from Nov 3rd consensus Drafting final report – reviewing sections, guidance, etc.. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grid Subgroup November 8th Meeting Notes

Grid Subgroup	Nov 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prep for consensus deliberations next week – address any remaining issues from Nov 3rd consensus • Drafting final report – reviewing sections, guidance, etc.. 	•
Task Force Meeting #13	Nov 17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consensus Deliberations on all recommendations 	• Meeting Recording – Via TVW.org
Legislative Working Group	Nov 18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss Task Force Timeline, Proposed Recommendations, and Legislative Strategy • Discuss Dec 14th Joint Legislative Work Session 	•
Grid Subgroup	Nov 22	CANCELED	
Grid Subgroup	Nov 29	CANCELED	•
Grid Subgroup	Dec 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address any remaining issues from Nov 3rd and Nov 17th consensus – preparing for final consensus deliberation on Dec 8th. • Prep for Dec 14th Leg work session 	•
Task Force Meeting #14	Dec 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Last chance for consensus – address any remaining issues • Prep for work session with the Legislature 	•
Grid Subgroup	Dec 13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drafting final report – reviewing sections, guidance, etc. • Prep for Dec 14th Leg work session • Facilitation Team and Research Team finalizing the report. 	•
Joint House Public Safety and Senate Law and Justice Meeting	Dec 14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joint meeting of the Senate Law and Justice and House Public Safety Committees from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., at the Capitol Campus, in Olympia, WA 	•

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purpose: receiving a report from the WA State Criminal Sentencing Task Force 	
Co-Chairs/Facilitation Team submit final report	Week of Dec 19th		

DRAFT