

Sustainable Mulch

Spring / Summer 2024
Volume 3, Issue 1

MANAGEMENT

Plastic Mulches in Horticulture Production



Improved End-of-Life of Plastic Mulches

smallfruits.wsu.edu/plastic-mulches/



In This Issue...

Project director's note	1
Impact of mulching on specialty crops performance	2
Where no mulch has gone before! Developing a biobased weed barrier for direct-seeded, high density specialty crops .	7
PFAS are not present in soil-biodegradable plastic mulch	9
Microplastics in agroecosystems: A growing concern beneath the surface! ...	11
Do you want to recycle your agricultural chemical containers?	13
Recent publications	14
Upcoming events	14
Connect and tune in!	14

📷 @Mulch_Matters

🌐 @MulchMatters

▶ Mulch Matters Podcast

Lisa Wasko DeVetter, editor
lisa.devetter@wsu.edu
360-848-6124

Mention of trade names does not indicate endorsement or promotion.

Cover photo Lisa Wasko DeVetter

USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

This material is based upon work that is supported by USDA SCRI award 2022-51181-38325. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

WSU Extension programs and employment are available to all without discrimination. Evidence of noncompliance may be reported through your local WSU Extension Office.



Project team and Advisory Board members at Moss Landing, California.

Project Director's Note

Lisa Wasko DeVetter, Associate Professor, Washington State University

Back in March 2024, the project team and Advisory Board for the USDA-funded SCRI CAP grant titled, "Improving End-of-Life Management of Plastic Mulches in Strawberry Systems" (#2022-51181-38325) met in beautiful Moss Landing, California. Project progress was shared and has been excellent with good science paired with outreach and fantastic industry engagement. We are at the halfway point of our project with scientific and extension publications out or in progress. Based on feedback from our Advisory Board, we are also thinking more broadly about how we can share scientific information with policy makers to really expand the impact of our team's work and contribute to scientifically informed policy. You can check out some of our recent publications highlighted in this newsletter and continue learning by staying connected via our social media feeds. Our newsletter and [project website](#) will also continue to share key findings and publications so it can serve as a "one-stop shop" for information on mulch technologies for specialty crops with improved end-of-life outcomes.



Project team and Advisory Board members learning from California strawberry grower, Rich Uto, regarding his experiences with soil-biodegradable plastic mulches and SolarShrink film.

Impact of mulching on specialty crops performance

Nataliya Shcherbatyuk, PhD, Washington State University; Shuresh Ghimire, PhD, University of Connecticut; Ben Weiss, MS student, Washington State University; Aidan Williams, MS student, Washington State University; and Lisa Wasko DeVetter, Associate Professor, Washington State University

Mulching is a widely accepted practice in specialty crop production. Specialty crops significantly contribute to daily diets, farm revenue, job creation, agricultural diversification, and food security. Mulching optimizes soil microclimate, regulates temperature, conserves moisture, suppresses weeds, and prevents soil erosion, fostering favorable conditions for crop growth and higher yields. Moreover, mulching reduces herbicide use, enhances food safety, and improves overall crop quality, offering both economic and environmental benefits.

According to the United States Congress, specialty crops are “a broad range of agricultural products, including fruits, vegetables, tree nuts, dried fruits and vegetables, as well as ornamental and nursery crops, including floriculture” (7 U.S.C. 1621 note). Specialty crops play a crucial role in daily diets, as they are regularly consumed: vegetables often feature in lunch or dinner, fruits serve as snacks, and herbs and spices are used in various seasonings. Landscapes are also impacted through the cultivation of these crops. Therefore, specialty crops have an important and economically impactful role in agriculture and human nutrition. Specialty crops also share a commonality in that they refer to all crops except those that receive direct income support under Title I of the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018, also known as the “Farm Bill”.

The cultivation of specialty crops in the United States is on the rise and gaining increased attention within the agricultural community, especially with the inclusion of provisions addressing specialty crop-related issues in the Food Conservation and Energy Act of 2008 (Harris et al., 2008). The 2018 Farm Bill continues this trend by expanding provisions related to specialty crops, placing a strong emphasis on research in this sector and promoting American specialty crops on the foreign markets (H.R.2 - 115th Congress, 2018).

Specialty crops are important to human nutrition as they are rich in essential vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and dietary fiber. They contribute to a well-rounded, nutritious diet and are associated with improved health outcomes. The significance of diet in promoting human health and well-being has gained global recognition. According to Dietary Guidelines for Americans, a diet balanced around vegetables and fruits can help regulate high blood pressure, decrease the risk of heart disease and stroke, support eye health and digestive functions, and positively affect blood sugar and mental health (U.S. Department of Health and Human Service [HHS], 2020-2025).

Crop quality is of paramount importance in agriculture for both domestic and export markets. The quality of these products has a direct impact on consumer satisfaction, as higher-quality fruits and vegetables are more appealing in taste, texture, and appearance. Consequently, this may lead to increased consumer demand and competitive markets for growers. Additionally, crop quality affects the shelf life of products, reducing post-harvest losses and enhancing marketability. Specialty crop growers use an array of tools and practices to optimize the economics of crop quality and yield, with mulch being an example of one important tool.

CURRENT AND TRADITIONAL MULCH TECHNOLOGIES

Mulching has been an important practice in horticultural settings since ancient times (Rakow, 1998). Mulching is the process of covering bare soil for its enrichment and insulation (Iqbal et al., 2020). Mulch materials are vast and include bark chips, straw, compost, green and animal manures, and other organic materials, along with various films. Mulches may also be “living” or terminated at certain growth stages, so the residue provides mulch functionality. The use of lithic mulch such as stones, volcanic ash, pebbles, and other rock materials can be traced back to 200 B.C., potentially even earlier (Lightfoot, 1994). Wood products, such as bark chips, shredded wood, and sawdust are common mulches that provide moisture retention and weed suppression when applied as a thick layer (3-6 inches). Compost products used as mulch, such as green manure and leaf cuttings, act as both fertilizers and mulch. This provides added carbon and macro- and micronutrients to soil, which contributes to plant and soil health (Kader et al., 2017). Film- or woven-type mulches, such as weed mats/landscape fabrics and non-biodegradable polyethylene (PE) and soil-biodegradable films (often abbreviated “BDMs”), provide ease in application for large scale production of specialty crops as they can be applied mechanically with a tractor and mulch layer. Paper-based mulch rolls are also available (e.g., WeedGuard) and can be laid by machine.

Dr. Emery Emmert is commonly referred to as the “Father of Plasticulture” since he was the scientist credited with pioneering the use of PE mulch within agricultural settings (Grubinger, 2004). Plastic was first used to replace the glass covers of greenhouses, which then transitioned later to a mulch product covering the soil. The implementation of PE mulch has been traced back to the 1950s, ranging from low to high-density PE. Much of the research accomplished before the 1960s evaluated the impact of black and clear films on crop performance (Lamont, 2005). The high efficiency and efficacy of PE mulch supported the exponential increase in PE mulch adoption and production over the century, including the use of green colored PE mulch in certain systems (Kasirajan and Ngouajio, 2012). Additionally, there are PE films with an incorporated aluminum layer designed to enhance reflectivity, serving as a deterrent to specific arthropod pests. This reduction in pest pressure may result in lower transmittance of insect-vectored viruses and other diseases. The use of PE mulch is allowed in organic agriculture in the United States, though their contribution to plastic waste generation and pollution makes their use controversial.

Soil-biodegradable mulch was developed as an environmentally friendly alternative to PE mulch and is designed to biodegrade in soil through the metabolism of native soil microorganisms (Corbin et al., 2013). BDM was introduced in the 1990s shortly after the German government advocated for the development of biodegradable thermoplastics with Novamont and Bayer BK being leaders in the production of compostable and biodegradable feedstocks. Feedstocks used to make BDM are typically a blend of biobased and synthetic plastics. Caution is advocated when using BDM, as many oxo-degradable mulches are falsely labeled as being biodegradable. Oxo-degradables form persistent, non-biodegradable microplastics. Furthermore, no commercially available BDM is allowed in organic agriculture in the United States (see “Ongoing research” on page 4).

IMPORTANCE OF MULCHING AND ITS EFFECT ON YIELD AND CROP QUALITY

Mulching is widely used for its horticultural and weed suppressive benefits that enhance crop yield and quality. The main horticultural benefit of mulching is optimization of the soil microclimate for the specific crop and/or climatic conditions under which the crop is grown. Mulch color is the primary variable that can be modified and influences how mulches augment the soil microclimate, particularly soil temperature. Color can be adjusted during the manufacturing process through the addition of colorants (e.g., pigments or dyes) that in turn influence how light is absorbed or reflected when it interacts with the mulch surface. Greater light absorption from black or dark-colored mulches leads to soil warming, while light-colored mulches reflect more light and have an overall cooling effect (Table 1).

Mulches can also influence soil moisture by reducing evaporation from the soil surface, although in some cases overall crop water demand may be greater due to increased crop growth and transpiration (the natural process of water vapor exiting plant leaves). Mulches also function as a physical barrier that can reduce or eliminate weed seed

germination, thus reducing or eliminating the need for herbicides and/or mechanical weeding. However, some weed species may still be able to puncture and penetrate through mulches [e.g., nutsedge (*Cyperus* spp.) and horsetail (*Equisetum* spp.)]. Weeds can also grow through planting holes. Therefore, pre-emergent herbicides and/or mechanical weeding may be beneficial depending on weed pressure and/or the species being managed. Mulches can eliminate edible crop tissue contact on the soil surface which reduces rot, enhances the cleanliness of the harvested tissue, and can promote food safety and overall crop quality.

GUIDE TO MULCH SELECTION

Selecting the right mulch and installing it properly is essential for maximizing its benefits. As mentioned above, the color of plastic mulch affects soil temperature and weed suppression. Plastics are available in several colors, but black is most widely used, especially in temperate climates (Table 1). Additionally, red, blue, green and yellow mulches produce distinct radiation that reflects into the crop canopy. Research into colored mulches has shown inconsistent results, and they are not widely used in commercial production except for green mulches in strawberry production in California and white or white-on-black mulches in climates with high summer temperatures.

BDM, unlike PE mulch, is designed to be tilled after use and biodegrade in the soil. BDM can perform similar to PE mulch in warming the soil and managing weeds, but initially costs up to 2 times more while reducing or eliminating the cost of mulch removal and disposal at the end of the season. Economic considerations should therefore factor in initial costs as well as the potential cost savings which will vary regionally based on labor and disposal costs (see “Economic and environmental benefits of mulching” on page 4). Before purchasing, users should verify that the BDM being considered meets standards of biodegradation. Some relevant standards include EN 17033, ASTM D6400, ASTM D5338, and TUV-Austria OK Biodegradable Soil.

Table 1. Plastic mulch film characteristics, including color, soil temperature regulation (warming or cooling effect), weed suppression, price, and properties (modified from Lamont, 2017). Information applies to both conventional and soil-biodegradable plastic mulches.

Mulch Color	Soil Temp.	Weed Suppression	Price	Properties
Black	+3-5 °F	Good	\$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most widely used Absorbs incoming solar radiation, raising soil temperatures for improved crop growth Effective at suppressing weed growth due to the absence of light penetration Ideal for use in early crop stages when soil warmth is needed Helps conserve soil moisture by reducing evaporation May overheat plant roots if used in hot conditions and/or if the crop is heat sensitive
Clear	+8-14 °F	Poor	\$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acts as a mini greenhouse, promoting plant growth Reduces evaporation, conserving water Allows light penetration, necessitating weed control Exposure to sunlight can cause tearing
White-on-Black	-2 °F	Good	\$+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflects sunlight, therefore keeps soil cooler than black plastic mulch Ideal for late summer or fall crops in climates where cooling is important Prevents root overheating and reduces the risk of plants overheating due to excess heat absorption
Silver/Metallic	-2 °F	Good	\$\$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disorients and discourages certain pests like aphids and thrips Particularly useful for crops susceptible to aphids and thrips like onion and pepper Reflects light onto the canopy, aiding in early fruit development Soil remains several degrees cooler than under black plastic
Infrared-Transmitting	+4-6 °F	Good	\$\$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absorbs specific-colored wavelengths while efficiently transmitting heat (infrared) to the soil, heating it like clear mulches but with fewer weed germination problems

LAYING PLASTIC MULCH

Mechanical plastic mulch layers can apply mulch on raised or flat beds. For raised beds, machine layers often can simultaneously form raised beds that are up to 10 inches high and lay drip tape and plastic mulch in one pass. Regardless of whether a raised- or flat-bed mulch layer is used, the mulch must be fed through the roller bars and pulled out so that it passes under the guide wheels. BDM is more delicate than PE mulch, so it must be handled a little more gently. If it is damaged while laying, deterioration may be accelerated. It should not be applied as tightly as PE mulch because it continues to tighten as the weather warms. If it is installed too tightly at first, BDM will split as it tightens, and this will allow weeds to grow. Once machine settings have been adjusted, the speed of laying BDM is equivalent to PE mulch. More information, videos, and additional instructions visit the [WSU Mulch Technologies](#) webpage.

ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS OF MULCHING

The use of PE mulch has been perpetuated throughout the decades due to its low cost and efficacy. Standard PE mulch costs between \$25 and \$65 per 1,000 ft, making it attractive to growers. BDM, in contrast, ranges from \$55-\$190 per 1,000 ft of film, and paper-based mulch costs around \$160-\$390 per 1,000 ft, though paper films are available in smaller rolls, comparatively. Shorter roll length increases the overall cost of application in machine laying scenarios, as more stops are needed to replace the roll as it's laid out in the field (Ghimire and Miles, 2016). Using mulch reduces labor costs for pest and weed management, along with saving up to 25% more water, contributing to economic incentives (Marí et al., 2019). Cost can be reduced greatly when using biodegradable options such as BDM and paper mulch, as the expense of removal labor and machinery are eliminated. Because these products allow for more controlled crop growth, it can also influence harvest time. It has been shown that using mulch can lead to earlier harvest time due to plant growth and resource efficiency (Steinmetz et al., 2016).

There are many variables to consider when searching for an optimal mulch for a particular specialty crop system, including environmental benefits. Given mulches are used to influence the microclimate of land dedicated to specialty crop production, there is the potential for larger impacts on the surrounding landscape. Throughout the United States, the loss of important topsoil in agricultural land from wind and water erosion is a concern. Mulching helps to alleviate erosion challenges by covering the soil and acting as a barrier to wind and moving water that can remove particles of soil on the surface of the Earth. For example, living mulches supply roots and their exudates that hold onto surface soil, facilitate soil aggregation, and can enrich soil while functioning as a surface barrier. Laying out straw, wood chips, compost, and manure are common tactics to reduce the impact of wind and water erosion through its barrier properties and aids in soil moisture retention. Plastic mulch films also protect bare ground, acting as a barrier to wind and water erosion as well as evaporation from the soil surface, keeping moisture trapped and reducing runoff. Reducing erosion helps to preserve soil health by maintaining or improving fertility, as well as promoting aggregate stability and microbial activity. Supporting soil health greatly benefits specialty crop production along with the surrounding environment. Good soil health assists in sustaining important ecosystem services, like the ability of soil to filter water and air, and sequester carbon; therefore, nurturing the health of the larger ecosystem.

Keeping soil covered also assists in reducing compaction. Compaction is the hardening of the soil from foot traffic, machinery, and rain drops that can in turn create physical barriers stopping plants from growing robust root systems and accessing nutrients locked beneath the soil. Utilizing mulches also reduces pesticide and herbicide applications because mulching reduces weed growth by blocking sunlight, resulting in the need to apply less or no herbicides throughout the season. Mulches are included in Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plans as an important variable to reduce the impact of certain plant pathogens, as they support the growth of healthy and vigorous crops with greater defense capabilities (Romeh, 2018). Therefore, fewer pesticides may be required due to the cultural benefits of mulches. Overall, mulches may have a positive impact on the surrounding ecosystem if they lead to reduced pesticide usage and runoff, which may otherwise cause indirect harm to nontarget organisms. Mulching can therefore have a role in supporting healthy and productive soil and agro-ecosystems.

ONGOING RESEARCH

Research into new and existing mulch technologies is ongoing at universities and private entities worldwide. Many multidisciplinary, multi-institution projects have explored various commercially available BDMs in multiple specialty crop systems and their impact on crop production, produce quality, soil health, and economics. Resultant findings enables specialty crop advisors, farmers, and policy makers make informed decisions regarding BDM use.

Recycling of PE mulch is also being explored given some farmers may be unable to switch to BDM. One of the biggest barriers to mulch recycling is contamination by soil and plant debris, which adds weight and transportation costs when transporting used mulch and requires de-contamination. Soil particles on uncleaned mulch could damage recycling equipment and contribute to inefficiencies, which can make mulch film recycling financially unviable. Improving mulch removal from the field so that it is efficient and minimizes soil contamination is essential to improving both mechanical and chemical recycling outcomes. Novel PE mulch technologies may also enhance recycling outcomes, particularly if less PE material is used, it's stronger and leads to less fragmentation during removal, and/or it has reduced soil contaminant load. New products on the market claim to meet this aim and may be a good option. Other mulch technologies that claim biodegradability are on the market, but standards or certifications of compostability and in-soil biodegradability should be assessed as many products are erroneously sold as biodegradable (Ghimire et al., 2018). Products advertised as biodegradable or compostable but not meeting standards or certifications are not recommended.

In addition to promising new mulch films for conventional farmers, research is being done to create more biodegradable options for certified organic farmers. Currently, there is no commercially available plastic BDM for organic production in the United States. There are several other requirements an organically allowable BDM film would have to pass through. For example, NOP rule § 205.3 states that an organic BDM must be biobased and must adhere to one of many compostability metrics (i.e., ASTM D6400, ASTM D6868, EN 13432, EN 14995, or ISO 17088; NOP, 2022). BDM must also degrade at least 90% based on ISO 17556 or ASTM D5988 specifications (NOP, 2022).

Currently, there are two nationally recognized research initiatives dedicated to the development of an organically certified mulching system tailored for use by organic farmers. One of them is evaluating the use of lignocellulosic film. Lignocellulose is the world's most common waste feedstock for biopolymer production and is 100% biobased, making it an appealing feedstock for certifiably organic mulch films (Chandra and Madakka, 2019). Lignocellulosic films can take the form of traditional plastic mulches that can be laid onto beds, meaning farmers could easily transition to this material without buying new equipment. While promising, lignocellulosic films are untested in specialty crop agriculture, so their efficacy at weed suppression and other crop horticultural properties are unknown.

Another potential option for organically certifiable BDM is hydromulch. Hydromulch ingredients include cellulosic fibers, water, and a tackifier (i.e., "glue"). This work is being conducted by another project at the national level with hydromulch formulations being paper based and under testing. Paper was selected as a feedstock because of its abundance in recycling streams, low cost, and due to its biobased nature. Current hydromulch research is focused on the efficacy of hydromulch formulations at suppressing weeds and optimizing growth conditions across various specialty crops and field conditions. These crops include strawberry, blueberry, broccoli, carrot, and onion. Hydromulches have shown promising results, however, its use also presents significant logistical hurdles to adoption. There will be a need for farmers to purchase or build specialized equipment to blend and apply hydromulch. Another point to consider is an affordable supply chain of recycled paper devoid of plastics and other contaminants (e.g., PFAS) common to paper production, a criteria for large scale production. Learn more about the [MulchH2O research initiative](#).

CONCLUSIONS

Mulching in specialty crop production is essential. Specialty crops are integral to agriculture, human nutrition, and the global economy. They contribute significantly to farm revenue, create jobs, and play a pivotal role in human nutrition. Furthermore, specialty crops enhance agricultural diversification, improve food security, and contribute to international trade. To meet the demand for high-quality specialty crops, mulching has emerged as a valuable horticultural practice. Mulching impacts crop yield and quality in several ways: optimization of soil and sometimes canopy microclimate, regulation of soil temperature, conservation of soil moisture, reduction of weed competition, and mitigation of soil erosion. These factors collectively create a more favorable environment for crop growth, leading to higher yields and economic benefits. The physical barriers provided by mulch can also decrease the use of herbicides and promote food safety and overall crop quality. Mulching not only benefits crop yield and quality but also has economic and environmental advantages.

WORKS CITED

- 7 USC 1621: Congressional declaration of purpose; use of existing facilities; cooperation with States (house.gov)
- Chandra, S., and Madakka, M. 2019. **Comparative biochemistry and kinetics of microbial lignocellulolytic enzymes**. In: Buddolla, V. (ed.), *Recent Developments in Applied Microbiology and Biochemistry*, Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816328-3.00011-8>.
- Corbin, A., Cowan, J., Miles, C.A., Hayes, D., Dorgan, J., and Inglis, D. 2013. **Using biodegradable plastics as agricultural mulches**. *Washington State University Extension, Pullman, Washington*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292265813_Using_biodegradable_plastics_as_agricultural_mulches_FS103E.
- Ghimire, S., Hayes, D., Cowan, J.S., Inglis, D., DeVetter, L.W., and Miles, C.A. 2018. **Biodegradable plastic mulch and suitability for sustainable and organic agriculture**. *Washington State University Extension, Pullman, Washington*. Retrieved from <https://s3.wp.wsu.edu/uploads/sites/2181/2021/07/Biodegradable-Plastic-Mulch-And-Suitability-for-Sustainable-and-Organic-Agriculture.pdf>.
- Ghimire, S., and Miles, C. 2016. **Dimensions and costs of polyethylene, paper and biodegradable plastic mulch**. *Washington State Univ. Ext. Factsheet*. <https://s3.wp.wsu.edu/uploads/sites/2181/2022/03/Dimensions-and-costs-of-biodegradable-paper-and-plastic-mulch-1.pdf>.
- Grubinger, V. 2004. **Plastic Mulch Primer**. University of Vermont-Cultivating Healthy Communities Extension. Retrieved from www.uvm.edu/vtvegandberry/factsheets/plasticprimer.html.
- Harris, W., Lubben, B., Novak, J., and Sanders, L. D. 2008. **The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 summary and possible consequences**. <https://hdl.handle.net/10724/19318>.
- H.R.2 - 115th Congress (2017-2018): Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 | Congress.gov | Library of Congress
- Iqbal, R., Raza, M. A. S., Valipour, M., Saleem, M. F., Zaheer, M. S., Ahmad, S., Toleikiene, M., Haider, I., Aslam, M. U., and Nazar, M. A. 2020. **Potential agricultural and environmental benefits of mulches—a review**. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 44(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00290-3>.
- Kasirajan, S., and Ngouajio, M. 2012. **Polyethylene and biodegradable mulches for agricultural applications: a review**. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 32, 501–529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0068-3>.
- Kader, M. A., Senge, M., Mojid, M. A., and Ito, K. 2017. **Recent advances in mulching materials and methods for modifying soil environment**. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 168, 155-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.01.001>.
- Lamont, W.J. 2005. **Plastics: Modifying the microclimate for the production of vegetable crops**. *HortTechnology*, 15(3), 477–481. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTTECH.15.3.0477>.

- Lamont, W.J. 2017. **Plastic mulches for the production of vegetable crops**. A guide. To manuf. Performance. Potential Plast. Agric.:45-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102170-5.00003-8>.
- Lightfoot, D.R. 1994. **Morphology and Ecology of Lithic-Mulch Agriculture**. *Geographical Review*, 84(2), 172–185. <https://doi.org/10.2307/215329>.
- Marí A. I., Pardo G., Cirujeda A., and Martínez Y. 2019. **Economic Evaluation of Biodegradable Plastic Films and Paper Mulches Used in Open-Air Grown Pepper (Capsicum annum L.) Crop**. *Agronomy*, 9(1), 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9010036>.
- Rakow, D.A. 1998. **Types and Uses of Mulch in the Landscape** - Cornell Cooperative Extension, Dept. of Floriculture and Ornamental Horticulture, Cornell University. <https://chemung.cce.cornell.edu/resources/mulch-in-the-landscape>.
- Romeh, A.A. 2018. **Integrated Pest Management for Sustainable Agriculture**. In: Negm, A., Abu-hashim, M. (eds) Sustainability of Agricultural Environment in Egypt: Part II. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, vol 77. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2018_267.
- Steinmetz, Z., et al. 2016. **Plastic mulching in agriculture: Trading short-term agronomic benefits for long-term soil degradation?** *Science of The Total Environment*, 550, 690–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.153>.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2022. **National Organic Program (NOP)**. <https://www.ams.usda.gov/about-ams/programs-offices/national-organic-program>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2020. **2020-2025 Dietary guidance online materials**. Dietary guidance for Americans. <https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/resources/2020-2025-dietary-guidelines-online-materials>

Where no mulch has gone before! Developing a biobased weed barrier for direct-seeded, high density specialty crops

Sam Wortman, PhD, Associate Professor, University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Agricultural mulch films, fabrics, and weed barrier mulches are most often used for production of transplanted crops with at least 12 inches between plants, including tomato, pepper, melon, strawberry, and cut flowers. Crops direct seeded at higher population densities, like loose leaf lettuce, carrot, radish, spinach, sweet corn, and snap bean are usually planted in bare soil without mulch because it is not practical to cut enough holes in the mulch to accommodate the desired plant population without jeopardizing the utility of the mulch. At the same time, weed control in these direct-seeded crops is challenging in the absence of mulch, especially for organic growers and those seeking to avoid herbicides. To address this issue, we have been working to develop a new mulch product and system where crops can be direct seeded on top of the mulch and grow through it, without any need for planting holes (Tofanelli et al., 2021). To support these efforts, we received a USDA NIFA Organic Transitions grant in 2020 focused on carrot and leafy greens, and we partnered with 3M Company to develop and test prototype mulch fabrics made from polylactic acid (PLA).

In this new mulch system for high density, direct-seeded crops, the mulch is laid in the field like a traditional mulch film or fabric (mechanically or by hand depending on scale), covered with approximately 1 inch of compost or any weed-free potting mix, and then seeds are planted directly into the media on top of the mulch using normal planting equipment (Figure 1). The media is watered daily until seeds germinate and begin to grow roots through the mulch and into the soil below. The mulch itself is permeable to air, water, and plant roots from above, but weeds struggle to grow through it from below. The first published field evaluation of this mulch system in carrot demonstrated that weed emergence was reduced by approximately 90% compared to traditional carrot production in bare soil (Wehrbein et al., 2024). Because the mulches are made from PLA and 100% biobased and carbon-rich, we have occasionally observed reduced soil nitrogen due to microbial immobilization after mulch application. Given this possibility, subsequent prototype iterations included PLA with organic fertilizer particles embedded in the matrix of fibers to help minimize the potential for nitrogen immobilization.



Figure 1. Biobased weed barrier system developed for direct-seeded, high density specialty crops systems where: 1) the mulch is laid with a mechanical mulch layer; 2) covered with compost or other weed-free media; 3) seeds are planted directly into media on top of the weed barrier; 4) seeds are irrigated daily until germination and roots grow through the weed barrier into the soil below; 5) crops grow and develop as normal without any need for mechanical or hand weeding interventions; and 6) crops are harvested as normal.



Figure 2. Field trial evaluations of the RootThru Weed Barrier (Sage Eco-Innovations, LLC, Lincoln, NE) at the University of Costa Rica at planting (left) and at the University of Nebraska – Lincoln at harvest (right).

Weeds were removed weekly after counting to prevent weed-induced yield loss and to capture other potential effects of the mulch on yield. However, there were no differences in carrot yield between bare soil and mulch, which suggests that the mulch did not physically restrict growth and development of the carrots.

After sharing results of three years of relatively successful field tests of eight different prototypes of PLA-based mulches, growers started asking where they could find this type of mulch to try on their own farms and gardens. Because there were no commercially available options, I created a private company in 2022 to begin the process of commercializing a PLA-based mulch that leveraged this approach to weed control in high-density, direct-seeded crops (please see conflict of interest disclaimer). The RootThru Weed Barrier (Sage Eco-Innovations, LLC; Lincoln, NE) is the result of this commercialization effort. RootThru is a composite mulch material that includes paper and PLA layers and is certified 100% USDA biobased. With support from the Nebraska Department of Economic Development, Sage Eco-Inno-

vations sponsored field trials in 2023 at the University of Nebraska and the University of Costa Rica to evaluate loose leaf lettuce and onion production in this new biobased weed barrier (Figure 2). In these trials, weeds in lettuce were reduced by up to 95% compared to bare soil and like research in carrot, yields were not different between mulch and bare soil because weeds were removed weekly. In onion, yield in bare soil (weeded only one time) was 58% lower than onion grown in the biobased weed barrier. Similarly, onion bulb diameter was reduced by 41% in bare soil compared to the biobased weed barrier.

Ongoing research and development of this biobased weed barrier system includes efforts to test and certify the mulch as compostable, so growers have an end-of-life management option besides landfilling or burning. Ideally, the weed barrier could be removed from the field after use, composted, and that finished compost could be returned to the farm the next season for spreading on top of the barrier in a closed loop, regenerative system.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Sam Wortman has disclosed a financial interest in Sage Eco-Innovations, LLC, a company developing, manufacturing, and selling biobased mulch products. In accordance with its Conflict of Interest Policy, the University of Nebraska – Lincoln COI in Research Committee has determined that this must be disclosed.

REFERENCES

- Tofaneli, M.B.D., I. Kadoma, and S.E. Wortman. 2021. **Proof of concept for growing lettuce and carrot in a biobased mulch membrane.** *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* 36:121-125. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170520000162>
- Wehrbein, C.D., I. Kadoma, and S.E. Wortman. 2024. **First field evaluation of a polylactic acid-based weed barrier with compost for carrot production.** *HortTechnology* 34:204-210. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTTECH05370-23>

PFAS are Not Present in Soil-Biodegradable Plastic Mulch

Ben Weiss, MS student, Washington State University; Kwabena Sarpong, PhD student, Washington State University; and Carol Miles, Professor, Washington State University

Soil-biodegradable plastic mulch (BDM) provides growers with a sustainable alternative to non-biodegradable polyethylene mulch as BDM can be tilled into the soil at the end of the growing season where it can fully biodegrade within several years (Griffin-LaHue et al., 2022). The question has been raised if BDMs release per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) into the environment when they biodegrade. PFAS are part of a large group of synthetic organofluorine chemical compounds also known as “forever chemicals.” They have been widely used in commercial and industrial products, including plastics, and are known for their resistance to grease, oil, water, and heat (NIH, 2023). There is increasing concern about sources of PFAS in the environment because some PFAS have been associated with negative health effects in humans and animals. PFAS are not used in the manufacturing of either plastic- or paper-based BDMs (D. Martens, vice president, Novamont

North America; P. Sarazin, vice president for R&D and Sustainability, Poly Expert Corp., Montreal QC; personal communication, September 19, 2023). If PFAS contamination in agricultural fields and products are of concern, other sources of contamination should be considered given PFAS are not present in BDM.

There are several ways in which PFAS can be released into agricultural fields, potentially contaminating soil and water (Tallaksen, 2023). One notable pathway through which PFAS have been released into agricultural fields is the application of sludge from papermills or wastewater treatment plants as fertilizers or soil amendments, as these sludges contain high levels of PFAS (Miller, 2021). Another possible pathway is through the use of agrichemical formulations that have been phased out (EPA, 2023). According to the EPA, some agrichemicals used as




Figure 1. Sources and transport pathways of PFAS discovered in agriculture. Illustration by Andrew Mack, Washington State University.

non-food pesticides prior to 2022 may have potentially had PFAS as an inert ingredient, and application of such pesticides could have potentially contaminated soil and/or leached into groundwater.

Yet another probable source of PFAS contamination in agricultural fields is the use of contaminated groundwater for irrigation (Brown et al., 2020). The Maine PFAS taskforce found that groundwater was contaminated as a result of Class B Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) used during fire suppression and firefighter training sessions at fire stations where runoff likely leached into groundwater (Tipton et al., 2020).

Similarly, shuttered landfills have been shown to leak PFAS into surrounding groundwater (MPCA, n.d.). In Minnesota, nearly all shuttered landfills (98%) had PFAS contamination, with 31% of those sites having levels at least 10 times greater than the EPA health standard for drinking and groundwater, and two sites had contamination levels over 100 times greater than the EPA recommended limit (MPCA, n.d.).

The concerns about PFAS associated with the use of BDMs are unwarranted based on current knowledge. For those who have concerns about PFAS leakage into the environment, efforts should focus on mediating landfills, firefighting chemicals, and biosolid applications.

WORKS CITED

- Brown JB, Conder JM, Arblaster JA, and Higgins CP. 2020. **Assessing human health risks from pr- and polyfluoroalkyl substance (PFAS)-impacted vegetable consumption: A tiered modeling approach.** Environmental Science & Technology 54:15202-15214. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c03411.
- EPA. 2023. **Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in pesticide and other packaging.** In: EPA (ed.). EPA.
- Griffin-LaHue D, Ghimire S, Yu Y, Scheenstra EJ, Miles CA, and Flury M. 2022. **In-field degradation of soil-biodegradable plastic mulch films in a Mediterranean climate.** Sci Total Environ 806:150238. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150238.
- Miller K. 2021. **Trail of 'forever chemicals' leads to Maine paper mills, Portland Press Herald.** The National Trust for Local News.
- MPCA. n.d. **PFAS and closed landfills.** In: MPCA (ed.). State of Minnesota.
- NIH. 2023. **Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances.** In: NIH (ed.). National Institute of Health and Environmental Health Sciences.
- Tallaksen J and Larson J. 2023. **Forever chemicals may be a concern for agriculture.** University of Minnesota, College of Food, Agriculture, and Natural Resource Sciences.
- Tipton M, Beal A, Belliveau M, Andre B, Farnham D, Graham L, Kraske C, Labbe N, Lambrew J, McBurnie J, and Reid J. 2020. **Managing PFAS in Maine: final report from the Maine PFAS task force, January 2020 (PDF).** State of Maine.

Microplastics in agroecosystems: A growing concern beneath the surface!

By Nayab Gull, WSU Graduate Student (co-advised by Drs. Griffin-LaHue and DeVetter)

This article provides coverage on microplastics in terrestrial systems including what they are and the main sources of microplastic pollution in the environment.

WHAT ARE MICROPLASTICS?

Microplastics are a buzzword, often conjuring fear and negativity in discussions about agriculture and environmental health. The specific definition of a microplastic is any tiny plastic particle smaller than 5 mm in diameter. Nanoparticles (<1000 nm) are smaller yet. Both are formed as a result of the fragmentation of larger plastic debris in the environment (Rillig, 2012; Nizzetto et al., 2016). This fragmentation process is influenced by a combination of biotic (e.g., birds, earthworms, human activities, and microorganisms) and abiotic (e.g., wind, sunlight, and rainfall) factors (Rillig, 2012). Incineration, recycling, and stockpiling are common waste disposal methods, but each presents its own set of challenges and considerations. Shockingly, ~32% of large plastic waste ends up in terrestrial environments due to improper management. This alarming statistic reveals that terrestrial ecosystems receive 4-23 times more plastic waste compared to aquatic systems. (Yu et al., 2021b) (Figure 1).

Despite the hype around microplastics, we know relatively little about them. The purpose of this newsletter article series is to provide more in-depth coverage of microplastics in terrestrial systems including what they are and the main sources of microplastic pollution in the environment. Future newsletter articles will expand on microplastics' effects on plant-soil systems, mitigation strategies for microplastic pollution, and separating fact and fiction.

Microplastics in terrestrial systems come from a diversity of sources, including agricultural plastic mulch films, surface runoff and sewage irrigation, and application of municipal composts and biosolids. Let's take a deeper dive into each of these sources:

AGRICULTURAL PLASTIC MULCH FILMS

Plastic mulch films used in agriculture contribute significantly to soil microplastic contamination (Briassoulis, 2023) (Fig. 2). Incomplete recovery of these plastic mulch films leads to their residues becoming a primary source of microplastics in soil (Anunciado et al., 2021). The duration an




Figure 1. Plastic pollution and formation of microplastics.




Figure 2. Primary and secondary sources of microplastics and their migration to agroecosystems. Created with BioRender (BioRender.com)

agricultural mulch film is on the soil also impacts the extent of microplastic pollution with longer durations resulting in higher microplastic pollution levels (Zhou et al., 2023). Likewise, microplastic levels in farmland soils can vary widely across regions. This emphasizes the need for a global database to accurately assess and address their potential environmental risks (Büks and Kaupenjohann, 2020; Sintim et al., 2021).

SURFACE RUNOFF AND WASTEWATER IRRIGATION

Microplastics originating from surface- and wastewater systems have the potential to infiltrate soil through runoff and irrigation pathways (Fig. 2). Incomplete removal of plastic particles during wastewater treatment can contribute to soil microplastic pollution. Despite ongoing efforts, achieving efficient removal of microplastics remains a significant logistical challenge and requires long-term solutions (Brodhagen et al., 2017).

APPLICATION OF MUNICIPAL COMPOSTS AND BIOSOLIDS

Microplastics can accumulate within biosolids derived from wastewater treatment. Application of such biosolids as a fertilizer source can consequently introduce microplastics to the soil (Zhang and Chen, 2020; Yu et al., 2021b). Microplastics in amendments also enter soil unintentionally through application of organic fertilizers or composts derived from animal, plant, and municipal waste streams, as well as packaging material (Accinelli et al., 2020; Crossman et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2021).

OTHER SOURCES

Various factors including soil organisms, atmospheric deposition, soil characteristics like macropores and aggregation, and agricultural practices, all influence the transport and accumulation of microplastics in soil (Rillig, 2012). For instance, wind erosion and rainfall runoff transport microplastics on soil surfaces, while leaching can carry microplastics deeper into the soil profile depending on the microplastic size (Baho et al., 2021).

Knowing primary sources of microplastic pollution is a key step to developing effective mitigation strategies. Learn more about mitigation and effects of microplastics on the functioning of soil-plant systems in future newsletters!

REFERENCES

- Accinelli, C., Abbas, H.K., Bruno, V., Nissen, L., Vicari, A., Bellaloui, N., Little, N.S., and Thomas Shier, W. 2020. **Persistence in soil of microplastic films from ultra-thin compostable plastic bags and implications on soil *Aspergillus flavus* population.** Waste Manag. 113, 312–318.
- Anunciado, M.B., Hayes, D.G., Astner, A.F., Wadsworth, L.C., Cowan-Banker, C.D., Gonzalez, J.E.L.Y., and DeBruyn, J.M. 2021. **Effect of environmental weathering on biodegradation of biodegradable plastic mulch films under ambient soil and composting conditions.** J. Polym. Environ. 29, 2916–2931.
- Baho, D.L., Bundschuh, M., and Futter, M.N. 2021. **Microplastics in terrestrial ecosystems: Moving beyond the state of the art to minimize the risk of ecological surprise.** Glob. Change Biol. 27, 3969–3986.
- Briassoulis, D. 2023. **Agricultural plastics as a potential threat to food security, health, and environment through soil pollution by microplastics: Problem definition.** Sci. Total Environ. 892, 164533.
- Brodhagen, M., Goldberger, J.R., Hayes, D.G., Inglis, D.A., Marsh, T.L., and Miles, C. 2017. **Policy considerations for limiting unintended residual plastic in agricultural soils.** Environ. Sci. Policy 69, 81–84.
- Büks, F., and Kaupenjohann, M. 2020. **Global concentrations of microplastics in soils – a review.** SOIL Discuss. 6, 649–662.

Crossman, J., Hurley, R.R., Futter, M., and Nizzetto, L. 2020. **Transfer and transport of microplastics from biosolids to agricultural soils and the wider environment**. *Sci. Total Environ.* 724, 138334.

Nizzetto, L., Futter, and M., Langaas, S. 2016. **Are agricultural soils dumps for microplastics of urban origin?** *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 10777–10779.

Ren, Z., Gui, X., Xu, X., Zhao, L., Qiu, H., and Cao, X. 2021. **Microplastics in the soil-groundwater environment: Aging, migration, and co-transport of contaminants – A critical review**. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 419, 126455.

Rillig, M.C. 2012. **Microplastic in terrestrial ecosystems and the soil?** *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 6453–6454.

Sintim, H.Y., Bandyopadhyay, S., English, M.E., Bary, A., y González, J.E.L., DeBruyn, J.M., Schaeffer, S.M., Miles, C.A. and Flury, M. 2021. **Four years of continuous use of soil-biodegradable plastic mulch: impact on soil and groundwater quality**. *Geoderma* 381, 114665. 5

Yu, J., Adingo, S., Xue-Lu, L., Li, X., Sun, J., and Zhang, X. 2021a. **Micro plastics in soil ecosystem – A review of sources, fate, and ecological impact**. *Plant Soil Environ.* 68. E

Yu, Z., Song, S., Xu, X., Ma, Q., and Lu, Y. 2021b. **Sources, migration, accumulation and influence of microplastics in terrestrial plant communities**. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 192, 104635.

Zhang, Z., and Chen, Y. 2020. **Effects of microplastics on wastewater and sewage sludge treatment and their removal: A review**. *Chem. Eng. J.* 382, 122955.

Zhou, J., Jia, R., Brown, R.W., Yang, Y., Zeng, Z., Jones, D.L., and Zang, H. 2023. **The long-term uncertainty of biodegradable mulch film residues and associated microplastics pollution on plant-soil health**. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 442, 130055.

Do You Want to Recycle Your Agricultural Chemical Containers?

For over 30 years, the Ag Container Recycling Council (ACRC) has been providing a free collection program for ag chemical containers nationwide. The program is fully funded by the **members of ACRC**; manufacturers of ag chemicals and related packaging. Since the program started in 1992, the ACRC has collected over 250 million pounds of plastic nationwide! Currently, ACRC collects over 10 million pounds per year. In Washington state alone, the ACRC has collected over 18 million pounds of plastic since inception! The collection is performed by a **network of contractors** nationwide. In Washington and across the Pacific Northwest, the ACRC contractor is **Agri-Plas, Inc.** Agri-Plas can be reached at (503) 390-2381 or **info@agriplasinc.com**.

The following criteria define the types of ag chemical containers that are acceptable:

- Rigid HDPE containers, 55 gallons and smaller (drums and jugs)
- Professional use (growers or commercial applicators)
- Agricultural chemicals: Pesticides, fertilizer, animal health, specialty pest control, micronutrient, biologicals, adjuvant, and surfactant product containers



Watch the short video, 'Giving New Life to Used Plastics', on the **ACRC website** for a short overview of the program.

After your containers are collected, the plastic from the ACRC program is then recycled into **productive end uses** that the ACRC has approved. For the program to continue to be successful, it is critical that growers and applicators understand how containers must be prepared for EPA approved recycling. Proper and thorough rinsing by growers and applicators is the single most important step! Proper rinsing is summarized in the **ACRC Rinsing Best Practices (PDF)** document. A full explanation of recycling requirements can also be found in the **ACRC Inspection Checklist (PDF)**.

If you are a farmer, ag retailer, or commercial applicator, with containers that meet the criteria as outlined above, Agri-Plas would like to consider you for setup as a direct collection site. Depending on the quantity of containers and your location, **this is generally a FREE service**. Please see their contact information above. Also, if you have other related questions, visit the **ACRC website** to learn more or contact the ACRC directly at **info@agrecycling.org**. Together, we help make cleaner farms and agricultural spaces by using our resources more efficiently!

Recent Publications

RESEARCH

- Astner, A. F., A. B. Gillmore, Y. Yu, M. Flury, J. M. DeBruyn, S. M. Schaeffer, and D. G. Hayes. 2023. **Formation, behavior, properties and impact of micro- and nanoplastics on agricultural soil ecosystems (a review)**. *NanoImpact*. 31:100474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.impact.2023.100474>
- Sarpong, K.A., F.A. Adesina, L.W. DeVetter, K. Zhang, K. DeWhitt, K.R. Englund, and C. Miles. 2024. **Recycling agricultural plastic mulch: limitations and opportunities in the United States**. *Circular Agricultural Systems*. 4: e005. <https://doi.org/10.48130/cas-0024-0003>
- Yu, Y., and M. Flury. 2024. **Unlocking the potentials of biodegradable plastics with proper management and evaluation at environmentally relevant concentrations**. *npj Materials Sustainability*. 2:9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44296-024-00012->

EXTENSION

- Miles, C., B. Weiss, and K. Sarpong. 2024. **PFAS is not present in BDM (PDF)**. <https://smallfruits.wsu.edu/documents/2024/03/pfas-in-bdm-factsheet.pdf/>
- Shcherbatyuk, N., S. Ghimire, A. Williams, L. DeVetter, and B. Weiss. 2024. **Impact of mulching on specialty crop performance (PDF)**. <https://smallfruits.wsu.edu/documents/2024/06/fact-sheet-impact-of-mulching-on-specialty-crop-performance.pdf>
- Beck, L. (2023). **Plastic mulch is problematic – and everywhere. Can we do better?** *Modern Farmer*. <https://modernfarmer.com/2023/08/plastic-mulch-is-problematic/>
- Parkhill, T., 2023. **Strawberry fields forever? Strawberry production leaves long-term plastic pollution, EurekAlert! News Release 9-Jul-2023**. Reports and Proceedings, Goldschmidt Conference. American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). <https://www.eurekalert.org/newsreleases/994857>

Connect and Tune In!

<p>INSTAGRAM</p>  <p>Mulch_Matters</p>	<p>LINKEDIN</p>  <p>MulchMatters</p>	<p>LIBSYN</p>  <p>Mulch Matters Podcast</p>
---	---	--

The Mulch Matters Podcast is also available on your favorite streaming platform including [Audible](#), [Spotify](#), and [Apple Podcasts](#).

Upcoming Events

PLASTICULTURE FIELD DAY

Dates: July 2, 2024
Location: Driscolls, Oxnard, CA
Email jazmine@californiamsf.org for more information on this event.

PLASTICULTURE MANAGEMENT AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Dates: July 30, 2024
Location: Satsuma Farms, Moss Landing, CA
Email jazmine@californiamsf.org for more information on this event.

CAL POLY STRAWBERRY CENTER FIELD DAY

Dates: August 8, 2024, 7:30 am - 1:00 pm
Location: Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

Online registration on Eventbrite

7TH AGRICULTURAL PLASTIC RECYCLING CONFERENCE & TRADE SHOW

Dates: August 14-16,
Location: The Dana on Mission Bay, San Diego, CA



Details and online registration on the conference website.

PLASTICS FROM FARM-TO-TABLE WORKSHOP

Dates: September 13, 2024
Location: Portland Food Innovation Center
Details and registration on Google Docs.