2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY WALLA WALLA SOFT WHITE/CLUB SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

VARIETY NAME	5 YEAR	3 YEAR AVERAGE	2 YEAR AVERAGE	2005 YIELD	2005 TEST WT.	2005 PROTEIN
VARIETTINAIVIE	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
	(2011.)	(BOIL)	(20//1)	(Bont)	(250/20)	(70)
NICK	50.1 (1)	50.6 (2)	49.4 (4)	37.2 (5)	54.7	14.2
EDEN	48.7 (2)	49.4 (3)	49.7 (3)	38.5 (2)	58.5	12.3
WAWAWAI	45.6 (3)	48.8 (4)	50.4 (2)	38.5 (3)	56.6	13.1
ALPOWA	44.4 (4)	44.6 (5)	45.2 (5)	29.0 (11)	54.4	14.2
ALTURAS	40.9 (5)	39.2 (7)	37.7 (10)	22.0 (17)	53.5	14.1
ZAK	38.9 (6)	39.0 (8)	37.9 (9)	31.8 (9)	55.9	14.3
EDWALL	36.9 (7)	35.3 (10)	34.5 (11)	23.4 (15)	49.6	13.6
PENAWAWA	35.7 (8)	36.2 (9)	34.4 (12)	24.8 (13)	53.6	14.3
FIELDER	29.6 (9)	28.4 (11)	26.9 (13)	17.5 (20)	52.0	13.8
LOUISE		50.7 (1)	54.1 (1)	43.0 (1)	56.5	12.5
WAKANZ		44.0 (6)	44.7 (6)	37.6 (4)	53.6	13.9
WA7952			42.6 (7)	21.3 (18)	53.4	14.0
WA7964			37.9 (8)	23.7 (14)	53.8	14.6
WA7960				34.9 (6)	55.6	13.9
ID632				34.6 (7)	57.8	13.2
WA7963				32.2 (8)	55.2	14.3
WA7983				30.1 (10)	53.6	15.3
WA7986				26.1 (12)	54.2	16.1
WA7987				23.2 (16)	52.8	16.5
WQL7PENWX-2				21.0 (19)	53.5	13.9
	44.6	40.4	40			
NURSERY MEAN	41.2	42.4	42	29.5	54.4	14.1
CV %	16.2	20.5	23.4	24.1	3.2	6.8
LSD @ .10	4.1	6.8	9.5	9.8	2.4	1.3

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY WALLA WALLA HARD WHITE SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
						_
LOLO	51.4 (1)	56.0 (1)	61.3 (1)	41.1 (3)	57.4	13.8
ID377S	44.3 (2)	47.5 (4)	49.1 (5)	26.4 (10)	54.0	15.7
MACON	43.8 (3)	45.3 (5)	47.7 (6)	31.2 (5)	53.0	14.8
BLANCA GRANDE		50.6 (2)	52.1 (3)	40.3 (4)	58.4	13.4
OTIS		48.4 (3)	50.9 (4)	29.3 (7)	54.4	15.5
ID597			58.5 (2)	44.3 (2)	55.7	13.9
BZ98-447W				48.3 (1)	55.8	13.7
WINSOME				29.9 (6)	54.1	13.7
WA7991				28.9 (8)	55.3	14.6
WA7957				27.9 (9)	52.4	14.8
NURSERY MEAN	46.5	49.6	53.3	34.8	55.0	14.4
CV %	10.4	13.8	15.5	25.0	5.1	6.0
LSD @ .10	3	5.5	8.2	12.3	4.0	1.2

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY WALLA WALLA HARD RED SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005	
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN	
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)	
HANK	51.6 (1)	58.1 (1)	62.7 (1)	41.1 (2)	55.7	14.7	
TARA 2002	48.3 (2)	53.6 (2)	59.0 (2)	47.9 (1)	56.8	14.4	
JEFFERSON	48.2 (3)	51.2 (4)	54.9 (5)	31.3 (9)	54.8	15.1	
HOLLIS	47.6 (4)	51.7 (3)	56.7 (3)	32.8 (5)	54.8	15.7	

WESTBRED 926	47.1 (5)	51.2 (5)	54.7 (6)	29.3 (10)	53.0	16.0	
SCARLET	45.2 (6)	47.7 (7)	49.1 (9)	28.4 (11)	52.9	15.0	
JEROME		51.0 (6)	55.4 (4)	31.3 (9)	54.2	14.4	
ID593			54.1 (7)	27.6 (13)	51.6	14.6	
GMG BUCK PRONTO			53.8 (8)	28.2 (12)	53.1	16.2	
SX1504B				38.4 (3)	57.7	14.2	
BZ999-339				36.8 (4)	54.5	15.3	
WA7997				32.4 (6)	52.6	15.4	
WA7998				31.6 (7)	52.0	14.9	
BZ999-592				26.7 (14)	55.4	14.6	
WA7995				25.2 (15)	51.5	15.6	
WA7994				21.8 (16)	50.6	15.9	
NURSERY MEAN	48	52.1	55.6	31.9	53.8	15.1	
CV %	14.3	15.4	14.6	20.4	3.5	4.7	
LSD @ .10	4.2	6.4	7.9	9.0	2.6	1.0	

WALLA WALLA SPRING WHEAT - 2005 WSU VARIETY TESTING DATA

- 1. 2005 Spring Wheat data from the WSU Variety Testing nursery at the Walla Walla location averaged 29.5, 34.8, and 31.9 bu/ac for soft white spring, hard white spring and hard red spring wheat, respectively. The 2005 spring wheat average yields were 30% 38% % lower than the historical 3-year average. This nursery was planted on re-crop following a 2004 winter wheat crop and the 2005 spring growing conditions contributed to yields that were lower than the historical averages. Low TEST WEIGHT values are also indicative of the poor 2005 growing conditions for spring crops in this region.
- 2. STRIPE RUST infections were present in this nursery on a 14 June 2005 evaluation. Notable susceptible soft white common varieties such as, Penawawa, Edwall and Fielder exhibited significant yield reductions as well as significant reductions in TEST WEIGHT. Stripe rust infections related to yield were not as well defined in hard white and hard red spring wheat varieties.
- 3. In general, variety YIELD RANKINGS were similar to 3-year historical yield rankings. However, due to a fairly high 2005 nursery variation (CV %) historical 3-yr and 5-yr average yield values are better indicators when making comparisons among varieties.