2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY FARMINGTON SOFT WHITE/CLUB SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
ALPOWA	89.7 (1)	91.6 (2)	106.6 (1)	69.5 (3)	58.8	10.6
NICK	87.5 (2)	92.1 (1)	103.7 (2)	76.8 (1)	56.9	11.6
WAWAWAI	85.3 (3)	87.1 (5)	98.0 (4)	61.3 (11)	57.3	11.4
EDEN	85.2 (4)	91.5 (3)	102.0 (3)	58.5 (13)	57.2	10.8
ALTURAS	82.5 (5)	83.6 (8)	96.0 (8)	51.5 (18)	56.5	11.3
ZAK	81.9 (6)	84.2 (7)	96.8 (7)	63.1 (7)	56.2	11.5
EDWALL	73.8 (7)	76.5 (10)	89.4 (11)	53.8 (15)	52.5	11.0
FIELDER	73.2 (8)	71.7 (11)	82.0 (13)	43.1 (20)	56.4	11.6
PENAWAWA	72.3 (9)	76.8 (9)	89.4 (12)	51.9 (17)	55.7	11.7
LOUISE		87.7 (4)	94.2 (10)	66.7 (5)	56.1	10.9
WAKANZ		84.8 (6)	97.0 (6)	68.1 (4)	54.7	11.4
WA7964			98.0 (5)	62.3 (9)	56.1	10.7
WA7952			94.3 (9)	53.2 (16)	58.1	11.0
ID632				75.1 (2)	58.7	10.1
WA7983				66.3 (6)	55.5	11.5
WA7960				62.9 (8)	55.9	11.5
WA7963				61.4 (10)	55.8	11.2
WA7987				59.8 (12)	56.7	12.7
WA7986				58.1 (14)	56.9	12.8
WQL7PENWX-2				49.9 (19)	57	10.8
NURSERY MEAN	81.3	84.3	96	60.7	56.5	11.3
CV %	7.8	7.6	7.6	8.7	1.4	4.1
LSD @ .10	3.9	5.0	7.0	7.2	1.1	0.6

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY FARMINGTON HARD WHITE SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

VA DIETVAIANE	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
LOLO	85.8 (1)	86.9 (1)	95.6 (2)	59.6 (6)	55.2	13.7
MACON	80.8 (2)	82.9 (4)	94.8 (3)	63.2 (3)	53.9	13.3
ID377S	78.6 (3)	80.2 (5)	91.8 (5)	54.1 (9)	54.0	14.2
BLANCA GRANDE		84.9 (2)	98.1 (1)	69.2 (2)	57.2	14.0
OTIS		83.7 (3)	94.0 (4)	59.0 (8)	54.7	13.7
ID597			90.3 (6)	62.9 (4)	51.0	14.1
BZ98-447W				76.2 (1)	52.0	14.2
WA7991				62.8 (5)	57.0	13.2
WA7957				59.4 (7)	53.5	13.5
WINSOME				50.5 (10)	51.2	13.2
NURSERY MEAN	81.7	83.7	94.1	61.7	54.0	13.7
CV %	9.6	9.0	9.5	6.7	2.0	3.2
LSD @ .10	4.9	6.0	8.9	5.8	1.5	0.6

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY FARMINGTON HARD RED SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

'EAR 3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005	
RAGE AVERAG	E AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN	
U/A) (BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)	_
					_
1 (1) 89.6 (1)	102.6 (2)	66.3 (4)	49.6	16.6	
4 (2) 85.4 (2)	98.2 (3)	64.6 (7)	53.5	16.1	
5 (3) 83.0 (5)	93.8 (7)	54.2 (16)	51.4	16.6	
7 (4) 81.3 (6)	91.6 (8)	64.1 (8)	52.7	16.3	
	ERAGE AVERAGE (BU/A) (BU/A) .1 (1) 89.6 (1) .4 (2) 85.4 (2) .5 (3) 83.0 (5)	.1 (1) 89.6 (1) 102.6 (2) .4 (2) 85.4 (2) 98.2 (3) .5 (3) 83.0 (5) 93.8 (7)	ERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE YIELD (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) .1 (1) 89.6 (1) 102.6 (2) 66.3 (4) .4 (2) 85.4 (2) 98.2 (3) 64.6 (7) .5 (3) 83.0 (5) 93.8 (7) 54.2 (16)	ERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE YIELD TEST WT. (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (LBS/BU) (1 (1) 89.6 (1) 102.6 (2) 66.3 (4) 49.6 (4 (2) 85.4 (2) 98.2 (3) 64.6 (7) 53.5 (5 (3) 83.0 (5) 93.8 (7) 54.2 (16) 51.4	ERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE YIELD TEST WT. PROTEIN (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (BU/A) (CBS/BU) (%) 1.1 (1) 89.6 (1) 102.6 (2) 66.3 (4) 49.6 16.6 16.6 16.4 (2) 85.4 (2) 98.2 (3) 64.6 (7) 53.5 16.1 16.5 (3) 83.0 (5) 93.8 (7) 54.2 (16) 51.4 16.6

WESTBRED 926	78.9 (5)	83.9 (3)	94.6 (6)	70.5 (1)	53.3	15.9
HOLLIS	75.6 (6)	75.1 (7)	83.5 (9)	59.1 (13)	53.9	16.6
JEROME		83.3 (4)	94.6 (5)	65.3 (5)	51.4	15.5
ID593			103.2 (1)	66.4 (3)	52.9	15.4
GMG BUCK PRONTO			97.9 (4)	68.8 (2)	53.9	16.7
BZ999-339				65.0 (6)	50.2	16.7
WA7998				63.8 (9)	51.7	16.3
WA7997				61.9 (10)	52.0	16.8
SX1504B				59.7 (11)	53.4	15.8
WA7994				59.5 (12)	53.4	16.0
BZ999-592				57.2 (14)	53.4	16.4
WA7995				55.8 (15)	51.8	17.1
NURSERY MEAN	80.0	83.1	95.5	62.6	52.4	16.3
CV %	6.0	6.5	6.3	7.4	2.5	3.5
LSD @ .10	2.9	4.3	5.9	6.4	1.8	0.8

FARMINGTON SPRING WHEAT - 2005 WSU VARIETY TESTING DATA

- 1. 2005 Spring Wheat data from the WSU Variety Testing nursery at the Farmington location averaged 60.7, 61.7, and 62.6 bu/ac for soft white spring, hard white spring and hard red spring wheat, respectively. The 2005 spring wheat average yields were <u>lower</u> by 34.2%, 26.3% and 29.6% for soft white spring, hard white spring and hard red spring wheat, respectively, compared to the historical 3-year average. This nursery was planted re-crop following a 2004 winter wheat crop.
- 2. TEST WEIGHT values were low, probably influenced by late season dry soil conditions coupled with fairly shallow root distribution caused by seasonal May/June 2005 precipitation patterns that allowed roots to survive on surface moisture and limited development to deeper soil depths. The shallow root development was most detrimental during grain fill when roots were sitting in dry soil.
- 3. HESSIAN FLY ratings were taken on 10 Aug 2005. The ratings were visual estimates of spring wheat tillers that exhibited stunted/deformed development from early spring Hessian fly infections. The Farmington nursery typically experiences Hessian fly infestations and it appears an early infection at fairly high levels occurred during the spring 2005. It is worth noting that Hessian fly infection symptoms were measured at times in resistant varieties. This is probably a function of Hessian fly larvae feeding on tillers of resistant varieties before being killed or repelled by the Hessian fly resistance mechanism in a particular variety.
- 4. In general, variety YIELD RANKINGS were similar to 3-year historical yield rankings.