2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY CONNELL SOFT WHITE/CLUB SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
LOUISE		31.3 (1)	31.6 (1)	21.1 (2)	57.3	13.1
NICK		29.2 (2)	29.4 (3)	21.7 (1)	56.0	14.3
WAKANZ		28.4 (3)	28.3 (5)	20.8 (3)	55.5	13.6
EDEN		27.8 (4)	26.8 (6)	19.3 (4)	55.7	11.7
ALTURAS		27.5 (5)	28.4 (4)	18.3 (7)	56.3	13.3
ALPOWA		27.2 (6)	26.1 (8)	16.1 (15)	56.3	12.6
WAWAWAI		27.0 (7)	26.0 (9)	17.9 (8)	57.3	13.5
ZAK		25.2 (8)	23.7 (10)	16.1 (14)	55.9	13.0
PENAWAWA		21.4 (9)	19.6 (11)	11.7 (19)	53.3	12.5
FIELDER		21.0 (10)	17.6 (13)	10.7 (20)	55.7	12.3
EDWALL		20.9 (11)	19.5 (12)	12.8 (18)	52.7	11.9
WA7964			29.6 (2)	18.5 (6)	54.9	14.0
WA7952			26.7 (7)	18.9 (5)	57.8	13.2
WA7963				17.7 (9)	55.6	14.1
WA7983				17.6 (10)	55.1	13.9
WA7960				17.6 (11)	54.5	13.1
ID632				17.1 (12)	57.8	13.2
WA7986				16.9 (13)	53.5	15.0
WQL7PENWX-2				16.0 (16)	55.1	12.8
WA7987				14.6 (17)	54	13.6
NURSERY MEAN		26.1	25.6	17.1	55.5	13.2
CV %		7.6	9.4	13.3	2.6	4.3
LSD @ .10		1.6	2.3	3.1	2.0	8.0

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY CONNELL HARD WHITE SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
_	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
LOLO		27.4 (1)	28.3 (2)	20.6 (3)	57.5	15.9
ID377S		27.1 (2)	28.0 (3)	18.9 (6)	56.5	16.4
OTIS		27.0 (3)	28.5 (1)	20.1 (4)	57.1	15.2
BLANCA GRANDE		23.4 (4)	22.3 (5)	16.1 (8)	56.4	16.0
MACON		22.3 (5)	22.2 (6)	13.3 (10)	55.4	13.6
ID597			26.2 (4)	20.6 (2)	56.1	15.7
BZ98-447W				22.4 (1)	56.2	15.0
WA7991				19.0 (5)	56.6	16.3
WA7957				17.8 (7)	56.7	15.7
WINSOME				15.1 (9)	56.5	13.1
NURSERY MEAN		25.4	25.9	18.4	56.5	15.3
CV %		8.7	9.0	10.5	0.9	1.1
LSD @ .10		1.8	2.3	2.7	0.7	0.2

2005 VARIETY TESTING WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY CONNELL HARD RED SPRING WHEAT NURSERY

	5 YEAR	3 YEAR	2 YEAR	2005	2005	2005
VARIETY NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	YIELD	TEST WT.	PROTEIN
	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(BU/A)	(LBS/BU)	(%)
SCARLET		24.7 (1)	24.9 (1)	15.5 (10)	56.7	16.3
JEFFERSON		24.0 (2)	24.1 (2)	17.4 (3)	57.0	17.2
HOLLIS		23.5 (3)	23.7 (4)	17.4 (2)	56.7	16.9
JEROME		22.5 (4)	21.8 (6)	16.0 (7)	57.1	16.8
OZI(OMZ		22.0 (1)	21.0 (0)	10.0 (1)	07.1	10.0

HANK	 22.4 (5)	22.1 (5)	15.6 (9)	56.9	18.0
TARA 2002	 21.6 (6)	21.4 (8)	15.4 (11)	55.0	17.6
WESTBRED 926	 19.6 (7)	19.0 (9)	12.7 (16)	56.2	17.7
ID593	 ` ´	23.8 (3)	15.1 (15)	56.1	15.9
GMG BUCK PRONTO	 	21.6 (7)	15.2 (12)	56.5	17.9
BZ999-592	 		19.4 (1)	58.2	16.8
WA7995	 		17.3 (4)	55.3	17.8
SX1504B	 		16.6 (5)	56.8	17.3
WA7998	 		16.1 (6)	55.1	17.8
WA7997	 		15.8 (8)	55.3	17.5
WA7994	 		15.2 (13)	56.1	17.7
BZ999-339	 		15.1 (14)	56.2	17.7
NURSERY MEAN	 22.6	22.5	16.0	56.3	17.3
CV %	 9.4	99	9.1	1.3	1.3
LSD @ .10	 1.7	2.2	2.0	1.0	0.3

CONNELL SPRING WHEAT – 2005 WSU VARIETY TESTING DATA

- 1. Spring wheat average yields from the WSU Variety Testing nursery at the Connell location averaged about 30% lower than the 3-year average yields. Most of this is associated with dry soil conditions and extremely dry conditions during March/April 2005. Spring emergence was slow and the nursery location missed many of the key rainstorms. Plant height is a fairly good indicator of poor crop development (this was similar at the Horse Heaven location). For example, Louise, SWH averaged 24 inches plant height in 2005 compared to 28.7 inches in the 2004 nursery; Otis HDWH averaged 24 inches in 2005 compared to 32.3 in 2004 and Scarlet HRS averaged 23 inches plant height in 2005 compared to 29 inches plant height in the 2004 nursery.
- 2. STRIPE RUST was prevalent in the nursery with 50%-60%% infection noted on 2 June 2005 in the most susceptible varieties. Stripe rust susceptible varieties, particularly in the soft white and hard white market classes generally had the lowest yields having about 50% lower yields than the highest yielding variety in the trial. TEST WEIGHT values were also lower in stripe rust susceptible varieties which were expected.
- 3. CEREAL LEAF BEETLE (CLB) damage was also observed in the 2005 nursery but damage was minimal. One observation was that CLB appeared to favor varieties with wider leaves that also had leaves that drooped over this would favor feeding by the CLB larvae NOTE: this is strictly a preliminary field observation.
- 4. HEADING DATE was similar (about 25 May) to previous years.